NSC 92 2213 E 164 001 02 8 1 93 7 31

 $($

93 10 26

nonhol onomic

nonhol onomic

In this project, a study of the nonlinear robust control for a mobile manipulator is proposed. Due to the wheeled mobile is constrained to some nonholonomic conditions, a difficulty will be occurred for some control design technique. After comparison, it is preferred to use a velocity gain control method to design a controller. By using this method, it is shown a nonlinear mobile system can be stabilized and some performance can also be achieved such as circular trajectory tracking and velocity following.

Key words: nonlinear robust control, mobile manipulator, nonholonomic conditions, velocity gain control, trajectory tracking, velocity following

The Robust Control of the Mobile Manipulator Controlled by Velocity Gain Method

Abstract

In this project, a study of the nonlinear robust control for a mobile manipulator is proposed. Due to the wheeled mobile is constrained to some nonholonomic conditions, a difficulty will be occurred for some control design technique. After comparison, it is preferred to use a velocity gain control method to design a controller. By using this method, it is shown a nonlinear mobile system can be stabilized and some performance can also be achieved such as circular trajectory tracking and velocity following.

1. Introduction

As a role of the robot plays is more versatile in industry and in human life, the study of the dynamic behaviors of the robot increasingly attract many researcher's attention in the last decade. Most start a basic model, mobile manipulator, which is composed of a manipulator and mobile platform. Due to the dynamic behavior of the wheeled platform is confined to the nonholonomic constraints, as results the dynamic equations of the platform will be nonlinear and parameter-dependent. How to solve this problem still remains in open issue. Yamamoto [1-3] focused on the dynamic behavior of a moving platform and the manipulator position will be the final position of the mobile platform though the transformation. As defined the output equation is the final position of the manipulator position, the command following problem can be rephrased as the trajectory tracking problem. The mobile platform is to be controlled so that the manipulator can follow the desired trajectories. By using input-output linearization, a control law that transforms the nonlinear equation to the linear equation can be found by Yamamoto [3]. Shen Lin and Goldernberg proposed a neural-network control of mobile manipulator [8-9]. Using neural-network control with on-line learning algorithms, they can design a robust-adaptive controller without requiring off-line tuning. Fierro and Lewis [6] also design a controller by application of neural-network theory. However, they combine adaptive backstepping and Lyapunov stability theory to develop so called a kinematic/torque control law to design a controller. By using this technique, they can deal with more control problems such as: tracking a reference trajectory, path following and stabilization about a desired posture. problem is made. However, for further solving this problem some difficulties are occurred. As a

result, it is preferred for many researchers to deal this problem by using the adapting control law or neural-network control technique. We will also go though those control methods to gain insight the limitation of each technique.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is the dynamic model of the wheeled mobile manipulator. To address the mobile manipulator problem as a nonlinear H_{∞} problem and discuss some difficulties for solving the problem is in section 3. Section 4 presents an adaptive control design to solve the nonlinear mobile manipulator problem. Simulation result is presented in section 5. Final remark is in section 6.

2. The Dynamic Model of a wheeled Mobile Manipulator

The dynamic model of a wheeled mobile platform, which is constrained to some Nonholonomic conditions, can be described as the following:

$$
M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + F(q,\dot{q}) = E(q)\tau - A^{T}(q)\lambda
$$
\n(1)

In which *q*∈ \mathfrak{R}^n is the generalized coordinates, *M*(*q*) is *n* × *n* inertial matrix, $C(q, \dot{q})$ is $\mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ the

centripetal and coriolis matrix, $F(q, \dot{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the friction and gravity matrix, $E(q)$ is $n \times r$ input vector, τ is *r* dimensional input vector, $A(q)$ is $m \times n$ Jacobin matrix and λ is the vector constrain force.

The *m* kinematic constrains is defined as $A(q)\dot{q} = 0$ (2)

Let $s_1(q),..., s_{n-m}(q)$ are a set of smooth and linearly independent matrices which is the null space of $A(q)$

$$
A(q)s_i(q) = 0 \t i = 1, \dots, n-m
$$
\n(3)

Let $S(q)$ is collected by this vector and constituted a full rank matrix.

$$
S(q) = [s_1(q)...s_{n-m}(q)]
$$
 (4)

Then we can define a steering system as follows

$$
\dot{q} = S(q)V(t) \tag{5}
$$

 $V(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ denotes a velocity input vector that drives the state vector $q(t)$ into the state space In this project, an attempt of the formulating the wheeled mobile manipulator as a nonlinear H_{∞}

For simplifying, the structure of the mobile platform is given as the following:

Figure 1. The coordinate of the mobile platform

 $q = (x, y, \theta)^T$. In which (x, y) is the Cartesian coordinate of the center of mass (COM) of the mobile platform and θ is the orientation of the platform.

$$
M(q) = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 & md \sin \theta \\ 0 & m & -md \cos \theta \\ md \sin \theta & -md \cos \theta & I \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
C(q, \dot{q}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & md\dot{\theta}^2 \cos \theta \\ 0 & 0 & md\dot{\theta}^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

m : the mass of the platform

d : the distance between COM and the center axis of the wheel.

I : the moment of the inertial.

$$
E(q) = \frac{1}{\rho} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta & \sin \theta \\ R & -R \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\lambda = -m(\dot{x}\cos\theta + \dot{y}\sin\theta)\dot{\theta}
$$

$$
\rho : \text{the radius of the wheel.}
$$

$$
R : \text{the distance from the center axis to the wheel.}
$$

$$
A(q)^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \\ -d \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
S(q) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -d \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & d \cos \theta \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

The steering system in (5) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -d \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & d \cos \theta \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (6)

In which v_1 represents the forward linear velocity and v_2 is the angular velocity. Multiplying (1) by S^T in both sides, we have the following:

$$
ST MS\dot{V} + ST (M\dot{S} + CS)V + ST F = ST E \tau
$$

or simplified as (7)

$$
\overline{M}\overline{V} + \overline{C}V + \overline{F} = \overline{\tau}
$$
\nwhere $\overline{M} = S^T MS$, $\overline{C} = S^T (M\overline{S} + CS)$, $\overline{F} = S^T F$, $\overline{\tau} = S^T E \tau$
\nSo, the dynamic of the mobile platform is in (8) and the kinmatic of the system is described in

(6).

3. A difficulty to solve the mobile platform formulated as a nonlinear *H*∞ **control problem**

The nonlinear system of the vehicle \tilde{P} according to (8) is the following: The nonlinear system \tilde{P}

Dynamics:
$$
\dot{V} = (\overline{M})^{-1} \overline{\tau} - (\overline{M})^{-1} \overline{C} V - (\overline{M})^{-1} \overline{F}
$$
.
For simplifying, assume $\overline{F} = 0, V = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\tau = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{bmatrix}$

Then (9) will be

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{v}_1 \\ \dot{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & d\dot{\theta} [1 - \frac{\dot{\theta}(2\sin\theta + \sin 2\theta)}{2}] \\ 0 & \frac{d^2m(\cos 2\theta + 2\cos\theta - 1)}{2d^2m - 2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{m\rho} & \frac{1}{m\rho} \\ \frac{R}{\rho - d^2m\rho} & -\frac{R}{\rho + d^2m\rho} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
(10)

The plant in (10) is a parameter dependent ($\dot{\theta}$, θ) and nonlinear system. It is difficulty to use a

nonlinear H_{∞} control technique to design a controller to solve this problem. The reason has been explained by Jean-Jacques Slotine and Weipiing Li in [16]. The most nonlinear *H*[∞] control problem is to deal with a constant or slowly-varying parameters and a priori estimates of the parameter bounds should be required before-hand.

4. The adaptive control design

In the adaptive control, we will discuss the issue of stabilizing and performance. Consider a reference cart is defined as the following

$$
\dot{q}_r = S(q_r)V_r
$$
\nwhere\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\dot{x}_r \\
\dot{y}_r \\
\dot{\theta}_r\n\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\n\cos\theta_r & -d\sin\theta_r \\
\sin\theta_r & d\cos\theta_r \\
0 & 1\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nv_r \\
w_r\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(11)

The tracking errors with respect to the mobile platform are defined as follow:

$$
e = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_r - x \\ y_r - y \\ \theta_r - \theta \end{bmatrix}
$$
(12)

Differentiating (12) and plugging (6) and (11), we have

$$
\dot{e} = \begin{bmatrix} v_r \cos e_3 + v_2 e_2 - v_1 \\ v_r \sin e_3 - v_2 e_1 \\ w_r - v_2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(13)

Theorem [8]: Providing the following velocity control law

$$
V_c = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1c} \\ v_{2c} \end{bmatrix}
$$
 defined as
\n
$$
v_{1c} = k_1 e_1 + v_r \cos e_3
$$

\n
$$
v_{2c} = w_r + e_2 v_r + k_2 v_r \sin e_3
$$

\nand $k_1 > 0, k_2 > 0$ are gains to be adjusted. (14)

Proof:

The proof is simple and a proposed Lyapunov function is defined as

$$
H(e) = \frac{1}{2}e_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}e_2^2 + (1 - \cos e_3)
$$
 (15)

If the proposed control law can satisfy the following inequality $\dot{H}(e) < 0$

then the nonlinear system can be stabilized.

$$
\dot{H}(e) = e_1 \dot{e}_1 + e_2 \dot{e}_2 + \dot{e}_3 \sin e_3 \tag{16}
$$

Plugging (13) into (16), we can have
\n
$$
\dot{H}(e) = e_1(v_r \cos e_3 + v_2 e_2 - v_1) + e_2(v_r \sin e_3 - v_2 e_1) + \sin e_3(w_r - v_2)
$$
\n(17)

replacing the control law (14) into (v_1, v_2) , so it yields as $\dot{H}(e) = e_1(-k_1 e_1) - \sin e_3(k_2 v_r \sin e_3) = -e_1^2 k_1 - k_2 v_r \sin^2 e_3$ 1 \mathbb{R}_2 $=-e_1^2 k_1 - k_2 v_r \sin^2 e_3$ (18) Since k_1, k_2 are positive and v_r is reference velocity chosen as positive, then $H(e) < 0$

Figure 2. The closed loop system of the mobile manipulator using the velocity control law.

5. Simulation

The simulation result is based on the following example:

Given the reference input $V_r = \begin{bmatrix} r_r \\ w_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ \rfloor $\left|\frac{2.5}{1}\right|$ L $\left| = \right|$ \rfloor $\left| \begin{array}{c} v_r \\ v_r \end{array} \right|$ $=\begin{bmatrix} v_r \\ w_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ *r r* $\binom{r}{w}$ *v* $V_r = \begin{pmatrix} r & r \\ r & r \end{pmatrix}$ and the initial conditions was set to zero

$$
\begin{bmatrix} x_r(0) \\ y_r(0) \\ \theta_r(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The property of the mobile cart is the following: $m = 10$, $I = 5$ and $\rho = 2$.

Considering the reference cart is in a circular path shown in figure 3 with the velocity $V_r = [2.5 \quad 1]^T$ and the simulation results presented in figure 4 and figure 5. It is shown that the velocity control law can achieve the performance requirement.

Figure 3. The reference cart in a circular path

Figure 4. The mobile manipulator tracking the circular path

Figure 5. The mobile manipulator following the reference velocity using the velocity control law

6.The Conclusion

.

In this project, the study of the mobile manipulator by employing the nonlinear *H*_∞ control problem and the adaptive control method are proposed. In achieving some performance such stabilized a system, tracking path and velocity following, it is preferred to use velocity control law than the nonlinear H_{∞} control method when dealing with a problem with parameter-dependent system.

Reference

- 1. Yoshio Yamamoto and Xiaoping Yun," Coordinating Locomotion and Manipulation of a Mobile Manipulator" *Proceedings of the 31st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Tucson, Arizona, December 1992.
- 2. Yoshio Yamamoto and Xiaoping Yun," Internal Dynamics of a Wheeled Mobile Robot" *Proceedings of the 1993 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, Yokohama, Japan July 1993.
- 3. Yoshio Yamamoto and Xiaoping Yun," Modeling and Compensation of the Dynamic Interaction of a Mobile Manipulator" *Robotics and Automation 1994 Proceedings, 1994 IEEE International Conference.*
- 4. B. Steer," Trajectory Planning for a Mobile Robots" *The International Journal of Robotics Research,* 8(5): 3-14, October 1989.
- 5. B. d'Andrea-Novel, G. Bastin, and G. Campion," Modeling and Control of non Holonomic Wheeled Mobile Robots" *Proceedings of 1991 International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp.1130-1135, Sacramento, CA, April 1991.
- 6. R. Feierro and R. Lewis, " Control of a Nonholonomic Mobile Robot using Neural Networks" *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks,* vol.9, no.4, pp. 598-600.
- 7. I. Kolmanovsky and N. McClamroch," Development in Nonholonmic Control Problems" *IEEE Control Systems*, 20-26.
- 8. Sheng Lin and A.Goldenberg," Robust Damping Control of Wheeled Mobile Robots" *Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE International Conference*, San Francisco, CA, April 2000.
- 9. Sheng Lin and A.Goldenberg," Neural-Network Control of Mobile Manipulators" IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, Vol.12, No 5, September 2001.
- 10. V. Kumar, X. Yun, E. Paljug, and N. Sarkar, "Control of Contact Conditions for Manipulation with Multiple Robotic Systems" *Proceedings of the 1991 International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Sacramento, CA, April 1991.
- 11. H. Nijmeijer and A. J. van der Schaft," Nonlinear Control Systems" *Springer-Verlag*, New York, 1990.
- 12. Ball, J.A., Helton, J.W. and Walker, M.L., " *H*[∞] Control for nonlinear systems with output feedback" *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.* Vol. 38, pp. 546-559 (1993).
- 13. Van der Schaft, A.J.," *L*² -Gain and Passivity Techniques in Nonlinear Control*"*, *Spriger, London* (1996).
- 14. Hu, S.S., Chang, B.C., Yeh, H.H., and Kwatny, H.G., "Robust Nonlinear Control Design for a Longitudinal Flight Control Problem," *Asian J. of Control*, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 111-121 (2000).
- 15. P.H. Yang, S.S. Hu and B.C. Chang," Nonlinear and Linear *H*[∞] Control by Energy Dissipation Approach" Journal of the Chinese Institute of Electrical Engineering, pp.231-241, Vol. 9, No. 3, August 2002.
- 16. 16. Jean-Jacques E. Slotine and Weiping Li, "Applied Nonlinear Control*", Prentice Hall* (1991).

nonholonmic (heading angle)

 $(error)$ (Lyapunov function)

ITI Mathematic Matlab